

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
11

12 **ADAM RICHARDS, et al.,**

13 Plaintiffs,

14 v.

15 **GAVIN NEWSOM, in his official**
16 **capacity as Governor of California, et**
17 **al.,**

18 Defendants.
19

Case No.: 8:23-cv-02413 JVS (KESx)


**ORDER RE: ORDER SETTING
RULE 26(f) SCHEDULING
CONFERENCE [41]**

20 Plaintiffs and Defendant in the above-captioned action (collectively, “the
21 Parties”), through their respective attorneys of record, have stipulated and requested
22 relief regarding the Court’s Order Setting Rule 26(f) Scheduling Conference. After
23 full consideration, and good cause appearing, the Parties’ request is GRANTED.
24 The Court hereby orders that the Rule 26(f) Scheduling Conference is taken off
25 calendar and that the related dates and deadlines are held in abeyance until after the
26 Court rules on Defendant’s pending motion to dismiss. If the Court’s ruling on the
27 motion to dismiss does not resolve this case in its entirety, the parties shall file an
28 updated case status report within 30 days after the ruling on the motion to dismiss.

1 The hearing on Defendant's motion to dismiss, set for October 7, 2024, at 1:30
2 p.m., shall remain on calendar.

3
4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5
6 Dated: August 23, 2024

7 
8 _____
9 James V. Selna
10 United States District Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28